

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/674,710	01/29/2000	Gabriel Ilan	P-1653-US 3580	
24505 7	590 10/18/2004		EXAMINER	
DANIEL J SWIRSKY			BEAULIEU, YONEL	
PO BOX 2345 BEIT SHEMES	SH. 99544		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ISRAEL	,		3661	
			DATE MAIL ED: 10/19/2007	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/674,710	ILAN ET AL.	4
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Yonel Beaulieu	3661	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence ac	ldress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from h, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Au	<u>ugust 2004</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E			e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-19 and 21-33</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	• •		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-19 and 21-33</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	ГО-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No	
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National	Stage
application from the International Bureau	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
Attachment(s)			
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P		Դ₌152)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	aton replication (PT)	J 192)

Application/Control Number: 09/674,710

Art Unit: 3661

Ì

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 8 – 10, 12 - 17, 21, 25 - 28, and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Morimoto et al. (US 5,757,359).

Regarding claims 19, 24, 25 and 33, Morimoto teaches a voice recognition unit (412; fig. 16) to receive a voice input and associate the input with at least one first command from at least one command data set (col. 11: 8 – 12 at least); a handwriting recognition unit (411) receiving a handwritten input and associate the input with at least one second command from the data set (col. 10: 33 – 42; col. 11: 1 – 7); and a control unit (450) controlling at least one of the first and the second command and communicating (using item 457) a command signal to at least one appliance (within

Application/Control Number: 09/674,710

Art Unit: 3661

4

item 420 or 440) within a vehicle (the vehicle not explicitly shown; however, note title, abstract at least); the apparatus connects the appliance by an interface (457); the appliance being a navigation system and the command including locations (note block 420 in fig. 16).

Regarding claim 26, Morimoto's apparatus further comprising a microphone (412a) operatively connected to the voice recognition unit (412; see fig. 12; note col. 11: 8-12).

Regarding claim 27, the handwriting recognition unit is operatively connected to a touchpad (combination of items 411 and 414; fig. 16; col. 11: 1-7).

Regarding claims 28 and 29, the apparatus further comprising a unit (451) to compare/combine commands (a CPU inherently includes a comparator).

Regarding claims 8 – 17, 21, and 23, Morimoto's apparatus further comprising command includes several types of characters (figs. 15a, 24a, 24b), a cellular/FM telephone (within item 420; col. 11: 13 – 17 at least) and a predetermined set of handwritten signals (see fig. 31).

Application/Control Number: 09/674,710

Art Unit: 3661

į)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11, 18, 22 and 30 – 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morimoto ('359) as applied to claim 25.

As discussed above, Morimoto teaches all of the limitations except for making the appliance a PC or a car alarm or a sunroof or a window and associating the data with at least one 3-dimensional hand gesture.

However, it is the Examiner's position Morimoto teaches a control unit that performs the function of a PC; making the appliance a car alarm or a sunroof of a window would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention as involving only routine skill in the art. While Morimoto is somewhat silent on associating the data with at least a three-dimensional hand gesture, Morimoto does teach using two-dimensional hand gesture (see fig. 31) that is at least fully functionally equivalent to what is claimed. Furthermore, it has not been established as to whether the 3-dimensional hand gesture solves any stated problem in the art.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yonel Beaulieu whose telephone number is (703) 305-4072. The examiner can normally be reached on M-R, from 0900-1600.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas BLACK can be reached on (703) 305-8233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Y. BEAULIEU AU 3661